



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,214	01/16/2002	Grant C. Record	319098003US2	2941	
25096 7.	590 06/11/2003				
PERKINS COIE LLP		EXAMINER			
PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			HORTON, YVO	HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE	
SEATTLE, WA	3 90111-1247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635	200-2	
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

HN

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/052,214

Applicant(s)

GRANT C. RECORD

Examiner

YVONNE M. HORTON

Art Unit 3635



		BE DILL
The MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
	sys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.	
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,	ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
<ul> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	b) 💢 This action is non-final.	
	or allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-28</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration	n.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1, 10, 13, 19, and 25</u>	is/are rejected.	
7) X Claim(s) <u>2-9, 11, 12, 14-18, 20-24, a</u>	and 26-28 is/are objected to.	
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requiremen	nt.
Application Papers	•	
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by th	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any ob	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction file	d on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Exami	iner.
If approved, corrected drawings are re-	quired in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority d		
	ocuments have been received in Application No	
application from the Inte	the priority documents have been received in this National Stage rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not received.	
	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
	age provisional application has been received.	
	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	(s) 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3635

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1,10,13,19 and 25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-3 of U. S. Patent No. 6,418,686 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,214 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as

follows:

A load bearing panel including front and back faces/skins having shear resistance

connectors formed therein, and a core member positioned between the front and back faces/skins

such that the panel has a strength-to-weight ratio equal to or greater than 33 to 1.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting

claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application

which matured into a patent.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-9,11,12,14-18,20,24 and 26-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909.

Yvonne M. Horton

June 10, 2003